Marine Transportation System Performance Measures

Executive Summary

U.S. Committee on the Marine Transportation System
Office of the Executive Secretariat
1200 New Jersey Ave SE. Washington, D.C. 20590
Prepared by The CMTS Research and Development Integrated Action Team

Contributors & Editors

Description
This document summarizes the work in the technical report Marine Transportation System Performance Measures Research published by the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center as well as ongoing efforts in marine transportation system performance measures research being carried out through the work of the CMTS Research & Development Integrated Action Team. Full technical report available at: http://www.erdc.usace.army.mil/Library.aspx

This document should be cited as follows

Contents

Introduction .................................................................................................................................................. 3

Purpose .................................................................................................................................................... 3

MTS Performance Categories and Measures ............................................................................................. 4

Definition of Performance Measure ......................................................................................................... 6

The MTS as Part of the Intermodal Freight System ................................................................................... 7

MTS Performance Measures By Category ................................................................................................. 9

Vessel Travel Time Statistics – Dwell time example .................................................................................. 24

Summary and Recommendations .............................................................................................................. 25

References .................................................................................................................................................. 26
Introduction

The United States Marine Transportation System (MTS) encompasses the nation’s navigable waterways; the infrastructure that facilitates the movement of people and goods to, from, and on these waterways; and the users themselves. The MTS includes navigable channels, rivers and lakes; waterside features including marinas; commercial ports; and infrastructure including navigation locks.1 As part of a larger multi-modal transportation system, the MTS is connected to landside features such as docks, terminals, roads and railways.1

The MTS is a physically expansive system, connecting inland ports in America’s center with coastal areas ports and the rest of the world through approximately 25,000 miles of commercially navigable channels, hundreds of ports, and associated inland infrastructure.2 Government and non-government interest in understanding the MTS has put a premium on the ability to gather relevant data, produce maps showing where issues intersect, develop computational models, and use these tools to develop solutions to transportation challenges.

Purpose

This document summarizes an initial group of MTS performance measures developed from publicly available data sources and presented together for the first time. Each performance measure is accompanied by a graphic showing historical change or current status of the measure. An interpretation of the measures in category is provided at the end of each section. The utility of individual performance measures will depend upon the questions being asked by MTS stakeholders. Recognizing that these questions may change over time, this ongoing research project has the ability to develop new measures where data is available and suggest strategies for developing other measures where data exists but is not yet accessible. Taken together, these measures begin to tell the story of overall MTS performance.

This report has created an initial picture of the overall state of the MTS using authoritative data from Federal sources. The results presented show historical changes in diverse areas ranging from industry pricing to vessel accidents to environmental interactions. Performance in some areas appears to be improving or holding steady, other areas show a mismatch between available resources and current needs. This executive summary and the accompanying technical report should serve to stimulate and inform a dialogue about the state of the MTS, identify areas where more information is needed, and suggest ways to improve MTS performance within an intermodal system.
MTS Performance Categories and Measures

The categories used to group performance measures together for this project are:

- Economic Benefits to the Nation
- Capacity and Reliability
- Safety and Security
- Environmental Stewardship
- Resilience

Specific measures are listed under each category below. These measures should be regarded as preliminary products of ongoing research and are open to further refinement. This research is part of a larger effort that will ultimately include network modeling and scenario exploration.

**Economic Benefits to the Nation**
- Total value and tonnage of international trade moved by MTS
- Income and disbursement of Harbor Maintenance and Inland Waterways Trust Funds
- Producer Price Index for Transportation Industries
- Direct employment in MTS industries for the ten states with the highest reported MTS employment
- Inland waterway shipping barge freight rates

**Capacity and Reliability**
- Navigation lock closures, hours and number of closures, unscheduled and scheduled
- High tonnage channels with NOAA PORTS® instrumentation
- Travel time estimates for key waterway segments

**Safety and Security**
- Number of commercial vessel accidents (collisions, allisions, groundings)
- Number of commercial mariner and passenger casualties (personal injuries, deaths)
- Number of U.S. Coast Guard incident investigations

**Environmental Stewardship**
- U.S. petroleum-based fuel sales to the maritime industry (diesel fuel, residual fuel)
- Vessel pollution incidents (petroleum and other types)
- Amount of dredged material reclaimed for beneficial use
- Number of reported whale strikes by vessels

**Resilience**
- Physical condition ratings of critical coastal navigation infrastructure
- Age of federally owned and operated navigation locks

---

This work builds upon previous work done by the World Association for Waterborne Transport Infrastructure (PIANC). The PIANC report, *Performance Measures for Inland Waterways Transport*, identifies three general purposes for performance measures (operational, informational, referential) and nine thematic areas (infrastructure, ports, environment, fleet and vehicles, cargo and passengers, information and communication, economic development, safety, and security).
The combination of institutional knowledge along with technologies in real-time and remote monitoring offers opportunities to identify system inefficiencies and potential improvements and make strategic investments to enhance MTS performance. Federal agencies, including those that might not see themselves as ‘basic science’ agencies or producers of basic research, may benefit from the recognizing that availability of raw material, in the form of extensive data, can place them at the forefront of systems operation research and/or applications. However, an emphasis on enhanced sharing and inter-operability of data is essential.

The very act of gathering, synthesizing, and analyzing such information and relating it to performance should prompt more critical thinking about the scope and effect of Federal involvement in the MTS.44

- Committee for a Study of the Federal Role in the Marine Transportation System, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.
Definition of Performance Measure

Measures, indicators, metrics – these words are often used interchangeably, but the desired result is the same, to understand how elements are functioning within a larger complex system such as an international supply chain. Performance measurement is a process to evaluate the relationship between inputs and outputs within the structure of a specific system and subsequently identify areas of possible improvement. Brydia et al. (2007) stated that well-designed performance measures should be the following:

- Reflective of the end result, the measure should help determine if a goal is being met
- Simple, understandable, unambiguous, accepted and meaningful to the customer
- Responsive or sensitive to the data being measured
- Appropriate temporal and geographic scales

An ideal MTS performance measure would be collected locally, using the same method across all areas of responsibility, so that state, regional, and national summaries could be easily compiled for comparison.

It is important to distinguish between output measures and outcome-based measures. Output-based measures identify information about the use of resources. Examples of MTS related output measures could include number of containers loaded and unloaded at a port, amount of sediment removed from a channel, or vessel inspections completed by regulators. Outcome-based measures identify how well an organization is meeting stated goals and objectives; these types of measures are often more relevant to the general public. Examples of MTS outcome-based measures include number of vessel accidents, average tons per vessel transported (through a channel), and average travel time between two ports. Both output and outcome-based measures are necessary to evaluate a system; they work in tandem to support analysis of how a system’s structure is contributing to its functional goals.

Transport Canada, the Federal Canadian transportation agency, has developed a data-sharing partnership between the Canadian Government and private sector freight carriers to report transit times for different modal segments (ocean voyage, port dwell time, drayage, truck transit, rail transit, shipment via inland waterways). Performance is measured as the time it takes a shipping container to complete each pre-defined segment of the journey, known as a ‘fluidity’ measure. These data are being used by Canadian provincial governments to identify specific delay points, such as on-grade road and rail crossings, for investments to upgrade infrastructure.
Output and outcome measures can serve more than one purpose depending on the user group. Measures that can be used for multiple purposes should take a higher priority. For example, ‘fuel use’ is a single performance measure with relevance for operations (cost of running equipment), information (air emissions associated with operations’), while also serving a reference purposes (tracking demand changes over time). This example demonstrates how a single measure can be both output-related and outcome-related depending on the context and question of interest. Performance data for historical and current operating conditions is key for developing a commonly shared baseline picture of the MTS.

The MTS as Part of the Intermodal Freight System

The MTS is part of a much larger intermodal, and interconnected freight system, so performance measures which can translate across transportation modes will be most useful. Current Federal infrastructure funding and programmatic implementation processes are not organized to consider multiple modes at once. The importance of intermodal considerations is evident from the growth in intermodal shipments as reported by the Intermodal Association of North America, shown in Figure 1.8

![Total Intermodal Freight* Loadings, 2000 - 2013](chart)

**Figure 1.** North American Intermodal Freight Loadings 2000-2013.

Stakeholders need reliable data to craft effective solutions to improve MTS performance. For example, MTS stakeholders in Houston, TX worked together to secure Federal funding to replace commercial tugboat engines with newer engines that significantly reduce diesel emissions.7 Replacing engines instead of rebuilding them allowed for the incorporation of new emission control technology. Achieving this success required trusted data on vessel age, engine age and type, vessel fuel use, engine emissions, grant funding opportunities, and waterway use patterns for vessels in the Houston area.7 Partners included the Houston-Galveston Are Council, Port of Houston Authority, multiple towing companies, and the Environmental Defense Fund. Replicating this kind of success requires mutually trusted data and dedicated partnerships.
Several high profile supply chain disruptions in 2011 (notably the inland flooding in Thailand and tsunami with resulting power outages in Japan) focused a spotlight on the complex interdependencies of global supply chains and the need to improve the resiliency of these supply chains and the associated freight networks on which global and domestic commerce depends. This renewed focus on the intermodal freight system and the smooth functioning of supply chains lends support for the development of MTS performance measures.

**Performance Measurement Benefits From Open Data**

Ready access to data is vital for accurate performance measures across a system as complex as the MTS. For Federal agencies with a role in the MTS, making data available is simply the first step in contributing to a truly transparent evaluation process. Presidential Executive Order 13642 released in 2013 directs offices in the Executive Branch to make open data and machine readable data the new default for government information. ‘Open data’ is the practice of regularly releasing data in widely accessible file formats through a website. Machine readable data refers to specific computer file formats used in conjunction with web services. Machine readable data is essential for projects that use automation for processing large amounts of data. Promoting open and machine readable data across Federal agencies will enhance the ability to develop targeted performance measures over time. By plugging in to the different streams of information which will flow into the public domain as a regular part of agency missions, there will be greater opportunity to combine disparate types of data to increase their informational power.

---

Performance indicators help participants to understand strengths and weaknesses within their organizations and institutions. They also help assist in identifying measures that can be implemented to counteract undesirable developments.

- PIANC Inland Navigation Commission, Working Group 32
MTS Performance Measures By Category

Economic Benefits to the Nation

Total Value and Tonnage of International Trade Moved by the MTS

**Figure 2.** U.S. International Merchandise Trade (Billions of Dollars) by Transportation Mode: 2012. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Foreign Trade Division; U.S. Dept of Transportation, Bureau of Transportation Statistics. In 2012 almost 47% of U.S. international trade value moved via water.12

**Figure 3.** U.S. International Merchandise Trade (Millions of Short Tons) by Transportation Mode: 2012. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Foreign Trade Division and U.S. Department of Transportation, Bureau of Transportation Statistics. In 2012 over 73% of total U.S. international trade tonnage moved by water.13
U.S. Export and Import Value Transported via Water: 2007-2013

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Census Bureau; Foreign Trade Division; FT920 - U.S. Merchandise Trade: Selected Highlights (annual issues); tables 1, 4, or 6.

Figure 4. U.S. Export and Import Value Transported by Vessel: 2007-2013. Source: U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Census Bureau. The value of imports and exports moved by water has surpassed pre-recession levels.

U.S. Export and Import Shipping Weight Transported via Water: 2007-2013

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Census Bureau; Foreign Trade Division; FT920 - U.S. Merchandise Trade: Selected Highlights (annual issues); tables 1, 4, or 6.

Figure 5. U.S. Export and Import Value and Shipping Weight Transported by Vessel: 2007-2013. Source: U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Census Bureau; Foreign Trade Division; FT920 - U.S. Merchandise Trade: Selected Highlights (annual issues); tables 1, 4, or 6.
Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund

Figure 6. Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund Revenues and Disbursements, Fiscal Years 1988-2014. Source: U.S. Department of the Treasury. Monies from the HMTF are available to reimburse eligible operations and maintenance expenses associated with commercial navigation infrastructure maintenance and channel dredging, except along fuel taxed inland waterways.15

Inland Waterways Trust Fund

Figure 7. Inland Waterways Trust Fund, Total Revenues and Disbursements, Fiscal Years 2001-2014. Source: U.S. Department of the Treasury. Between 2002 and 2009 disbursements from the IWTF exceeded revenues.16
Producer Price Index (measuring average change in the selling price of services)

Figure 8. Producer Price Index (PPI) for Transportation Industries (air, water, truck, rail, pipeline) from January 2005 to May 2014. Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. PPI for water transportation services has not increased as fast as other modes over the past ten years. Note: The PPI compares changes in prices over time, not actual dollar value.

Direct Employment in MTS Industries for the Ten States with the Highest Reported MTS Employment

Figure 9. Employment in selected U.S. states and MTS Industries, first quarter of the year 2000 to 2012. Data source: U.S. Census Bureau, Quarterly Workforce Indicators. Data aggregated from individual state totals voluntarily reported for jobs in North American Industry Classes 3366, 4831, 4832, 4872, and 4883. These totals do not include employment categories such as heavy construction or marine insurance carriers.
Inland Waterway Shipping Barge Freight Rates

**Figure 10.** Change in Weekly Barge Spot Freight Rates (1976 = baseline tariff), for southbound shipments originating along the Mississippi River, Spring 2005 to Summer 2014. Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture. Benchmark rate ports encompass regions around St. Paul, MN; Rock Island, IL; St. Louis, MO; Meredosia, IL; Cincinnati, OH; Louisville, KY; and Cairo, IL. Rates are higher during peak agricultural harvest times in the Midwest, but seasonal price shifts have been less dramatic in recent years.

**Interpretation of Economic Benefits to the Nation Measures**

The MTS provide significant benefits to the nation as conduit for international trade (see Figures 2-5), and as a low-cost long haul transportation mode for domestic freight including energy commodities. The value of exports and imports transported via water every year totals hundreds of billions of dollars and forms the cornerstone of U.S. international trade. Since 1988 there has been an approximate eight-fold increase in annual revenues collected by the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, indicating an expansion in trade or an increase in the value of goods moving through harbors subject to the tax that funds the HMTF (see Figure 6). Revenues from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund have not matched disbursements for most of the past decade (see Figure 7), indicating that needs are greater than available funds.

When compared to prices for other modes used for long-distance and bulk freight transportation, waterborne transportation has exhibited price stability comparable to truck transport over the past decade (see Figure 8). Changes in fuel prices affect all freight transportation modes, but there has been an overall decline in U.S. marine fuel sales since a high point in the late 1990s that is not associated with a concurrent decline in trade volume or value (see Figure 17). While efficiencies of modern vessels and available capacity may have contributed to this decline, it is also possible that ships are buying fuel overseas in response to global price signals. Agricultural commodity exporters are significant users of the MTS, using barge services to ship their commodities along inland waterways to deep-draft coastal ports. While seasonal swings in barge freight rates are expected due to the increased demand during harvest time, the difference in the index highs and lows has decreased in recent years (see Figure 10).
Capacity and Reliability Performance Measures

Unscheduled and Scheduled Lock Downtime

Figure 11. Number of navigation lock closures, scheduled and unscheduled, 1993-2013. Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Scheduled lock closures are advertised in advance, unscheduled closures can result from accidents, weather, or emergency maintenance.

Hours of Navigation Lock Closures

Figure 12. Hours of navigation lock closures, scheduled and unscheduled, 2001-2013, and annual inland waterway tonnage (divided by 10,000) from 2001-2012. Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Inland Waterway Tonnage defined as having an origin or destination in one or more of the following regions: Upper Mississippi River; Lower Upper Mississippi River; Lower Mississippi: Cairo, IL - Baton Rouge, LA; Lower Mississippi: Baton Rouge, LA - Gulf of Mexico; Illinois Waterway; Missouri River; Ohio River System; Tennessee River; Arkansas River; Mobile River & Tributaries; Great Lakes System; Columbia/Snake/Willamette Rivers.
High Tonnage Channels with NOAA Physical Oceanographic Real-Time (PORTS®) Instrumentation

Figure 13. High tonnage navigation channels with NOAA PORTS\textsuperscript{d} instrumentation. Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The locations on this map handle ~95% of tonnage moving through federally authorized channels ever year, over half of these locations have some level of NOAA PORTS\textsuperscript{d} instrumentation installed.

Travel Time Estimates For Select Waterway Segments

Table 1. Travel time estimates for origin and destination pairs along the Ohio River in 2013. Source: Calculated using archived 2013 AIS data from U.S. Coast Guard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGINS</th>
<th>DESTINATIONS</th>
<th>Travel Time (Hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo, IL</td>
<td>Cairo, IL</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evansville, IL</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisville, KY</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>115.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evansville, IL</td>
<td>Cairo, IL</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evansville, IL</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisville, KY</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville, KY</td>
<td>Cairo, IL</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evansville, IL</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisville, KY</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>Cairo, IL</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evansville, IL</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisville, KY</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{d} NOAA Physical Oceanographic Real-Time System (PORTS\textsuperscript{d}) is a decision support tool that integrates forecasts and real-time environmental observations (e.g., winds, atmospheric pressure) for improved maritime situational awareness. Instrumentation and sensor packages vary by location, http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/ports.html
Table 1 displays travel time estimates between points of interest, such as port pairs or river locks, in a trip table format like those used for other transport modes. Work is underway to develop these tables for the entire MTS, including the inland navigable waterways, the Great Lakes and coastal ports, for multiple years. Having this historical reference available will assist in the examination of impacts from events (e.g. drought/flood, unscheduled lock closures) that disrupt commercial traffic movements along major waterway corridors. Vessel movements in the time around an event can be analyzed to determine their variation from the expected average travel time, the potential significance of that variation, and long-term changes in baseline travel times.

Interpretation of Capacity and Reliability Measures

Investments in landside port infrastructure are largely driven by private investors or individual states using market-based information about current capacity and forecasts of future demand. Public roadway infrastructure investments made at the state level may affect supply chains that cross state lines. In light of this interconnectedness, the USDOT is encouraging states to develop freight plans to better incorporate freight-specific needs into the transportation planning process. While there appears to be available capacity within the maritime side of freight transport, the ability to fully utilize on-water navigation capacity is tied to existing landside capacities which may be limited at ports or other intermodal exchanges. In support of navigation safety, which is closely connected to reliability, over half of the 59 high tonnage areas (port areas that as a group carry 95% of total tonnage) have some type of NOAA PORTS® instrumentation installed to improve situational awareness for mariners (see Figure 13). In inland waterways, the ability of vessels to engage in long-distance transportation is heavily dependent upon navigation locks; locks which have seen an overall increase in the cumulative duration of closures and the number of closure events over the past decade (see Figures 11 and 12). Unscheduled closures of navigation locks are considered more economically disruptive because they reduce or eliminate the response time available to commercial users. Estimating the immediate cost from a single closure at a specific lock would depend on multiple factors such as time of year, duration of closure, and number of shipments delayed. Since 2001 total inland waterway tonnage has varied between 1.2 billion to 1.4 billion tons per year, indicating a steady demand for this mode of transport. There is uncertainty over the scale of future maintenance needs for inland navigation infrastructure, while the number of lock closures fluctuates from year to year, any single year from 2000 -2013 had more total closures than any single year from 1993 – 1999 (see Figure 11), indicating increased maintenance needs. Analysis on the extent and recurrence of vessel congestion is part of ongoing research in the area of travel time estimates (see Table 1 and Vessel Travel Time Statistics section). Quantifying historical vessel movement patterns can provide insight into patterns and performance of specific waterway segments, which can be used to plan waterway maintenance.
Safety and Security Performance Measures

Number of Vessel Events Investigated by USCG (collisions, allisions, groundings, etc)

![Graph: Vessel Events Investigated by U.S. Coast Guard, 2001 - 2013]

Figure 14. Number of vessel events investigated by USCG, 2001 - 2013. Source: U.S. Coast Guard, Marine Information for Safety and Law Enforcement (MISLE) files. Information on specific vessel event categories is available. Some marine accidents may alternatively be investigated by the National Transportation Safety Board including those involving U.S. flagged vessels outside of U.S. waters.

Number of Commercial Mariner and Passenger Deaths and Injuries

![Graph: Non-recreational Maritime Injury and Death Events Investigated by USCG, 2001 - 2013]

Figure 15. Marine casualties associated with commercial operations, 2001 - 2013. Source: U.S. Coast Guard, Marine Information for Safety and Law Enforcement (MISLE) files.
Maritime Incident Investigations

Figure 16. Number of U.S. Coast Guard Incident Investigations, 2002-2014 (part year). Source: U.S. Coast Guard, Marine Information for Safety and Law Enforcement (MISLE) files. This figure does not reflect estimated unreported incidents, or any estimate of incidents that cannot be investigated due to funding or personnel constraints.

Interpretation of Safety and Security Measures

Despite the variety of hazards associated with commercial maritime operations in U.S. waters, the number of casualties associated with commercial operations in U.S. waters has been relatively stable over the past decade (see Figures 14-16). While it may not be possible to prevent every accident, there is a clear need for continued oversight and emergency response capability across the MTS. Greater understanding of the human factors that contribute to accidents is expected to improve safety; research on this topic is being carried out through groups such as the TRB Committee on Marine Safety and Human Factors. At present there are no standardized public statistics on the effectiveness of specific marine safety interventions. An assessment of MTS security outcomes is not possible based on the public data gathered for this report.
Environmental Stewardship Performance Measures

U.S. Petroleum-Based Fuel Sales to the Maritime Industry

**Figure 17.** U.S. Distillate Fuel Oil Sales to Vessel Bunkering Consumers, 1984 - 2012. Source: U.S. Department of Energy. Annual data on petroleum fuels sales to vessel bunkering consumers is available at the national level, this figure shows a general downward trend in fuel sales since 1998.

**Vessel Pollution Incidents (petroleum and other types)**

**Figure 18.** Recorded Vessel Pollution Incidents, 2000 – 2013. Source: U.S. Coast Guard, Marine Information for Safety and Law Enforcement (MISLE) files. The vast majority of recorded pollution incidents are associated with oil pollution, but records include chemical, other, and unspecified events. This figure does not include pollution incidents associated with on-shore maritime facilities.
Amount of Dredged Material Reclaimed For Beneficial Uses

Figure 19. Dredge Material Placement Methods and Volume, 2008 to 2013. Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Ability to re-use dredge material depends on sediment type, location, cost, and permitting requirements. Categorization of dredged material placement is dependent upon local project manager discretion, definitions may vary from region to region. Aggregated national totals, shown in this Figure, indicate that overboard and open water placement (red bars) is still a widely used placement method. Placement of dredged material for wetland nourishment (dark green bars) was noticeably higher in 2008 and 2010 and may reflect regional availability of wetland nourishment projects.
Figure 20. Large whale injury events and mortalities reported for the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, U.S. Atlantic Coast, and Canadian Maritime Provinces from 2002-2010. Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Due to the nature of whale-vessel interactions it is likely that many ship strikes go either unnoticed or unreported. Not all ship strikes are immediately fatal, animals can be discovered later with evidence of such interactions which may or may not be directly linked with mortality events.

The economic health of the MTS and the natural health of the Nation’s ocean, coastal, and freshwater ecosystems must co-exist in a way that supports transportation while protecting and sustaining human health and the environment. The MTS intersects with, and is in close proximity to, sensitive and valuable natural resources, including wetlands, estuaries, drinking water sources, recreational waters, watersheds, critical habitats, fisheries, coral reefs, and marine life habitats.

-U.S. Committee on the Marine Transportation System, Strategic Action Plan for the MTS

Interpretation of Environmental Stewardship Measures

MTS environmental stewardship considerations span estuarine, freshwater, coastal, and offshore areas that vary greatly in their physical and biological conditions. MTS environmental stewardship considerations are complex because they span the air, water column, and benthic environments which MTS operations can impact. Preliminary measures that pertain to at least one aspect of air, water column, or benthic
environments have been identified. Since air quality is impacted by the burning of fossil fuels, it is possible that air pollutants from the MTS are declining, as reflected in the overall decline in distillate fuel oil sales to maritime consumers since a high point in the late 1990s (see Figure 17).\textsuperscript{24} Lacking at present are emissions calculations that include contributions from fuel sold in foreign countries but burned by vessels operating in U.S. waters. The implementation of Emission Control Areas for U.S. coastal waters along with engine emission standards and fuel sulfur limits is expected to reduce air pollution.\textsuperscript{31} This is a topic for future performance measure development. Pollution events continue to be a challenge for the MTS, although USCG records indicate a slight decline in cases of vessel-based pollution in recent years (see Figure 18).\textsuperscript{22} Whether this trend continues remains to be seen; reductions in petroleum-based fuel use would be expected to reduce the overall likelihood of petroleum pollution events.

Federal navigation channel maintenance activities (e.g. jetty reconstruction, dredging, and dredge material placement) present their own types of environmental stewardship considerations. Short term environmental considerations are often focused on local benthic and water column environments during construction. However, longer term environmental stewardship might consider the potential relationship between these activities and habitat creation or loss. For sediment dredged out of channels by USACE, there is no distinct trend of increasing beneficial use of this material. Both the percentage and cubic yardage of dredged materials used for wetland nourishment dropped from 2008 to 2013; however, there was a general increase in the cubic yardage and percentage of sediments used for beach nourishment over the same time (see Figure 19).\textsuperscript{32} One caveat to this interpretation is the limitation on the level of detail available in dredge material placement records as well as regional discrepancies in defining what qualifies as beneficial use. It is possible that more refined data categories for dredge material placement would reveal different trends. More detailed geographical data would be needed to assess the creation of specific habitat types from beneficially reused sediments. In the water column, interactions between commercial vessels and species of concern such as marine mammals appear to be stable (see Figure 20), but within the scientific community there is believed to be vast underreporting of these events and significant regional variation.\textsuperscript{33; 34}
Resilience Performance Measures

Age of Federally Owned and Operated Navigation Locks

Figure 21. Decade of opening for USACE-owned or operated navigation locks: 1830s-2010s. Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Physical deterioration depends on factors such as the original materials used in construction, weather conditions, and structural stresses from vessel impacts.

Physical Condition Ratings of Critical Coastal Navigation Infrastructure owned by USACE

Figure 22. Physical condition ratings of USACE-owned coastal navigation infrastructure components. Source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Asset Management Database (beta). Infrastructure includes piers, groins, jetties, dikes, breakwaters, and revetments of varying size. Scores aggregated by grade class (B, B-, and B+ ratings are all shown in the B column).
Interpretation of Resilience Measures

Resilience is defined as “the ability to prepare and plan for, resist, recover from, and more successfully adapt to the impacts of adverse events.” MTS operations are ultimately inseparable from landside systems, but defining any system requires drawing logical boundaries. For this research, MTS-specific physical infrastructure is the initial boundary condition for examining resilience. Along inland waterways, major public infrastructure in the form of locks, dams, and bridges, continues to age (see Figure 21), with uncertain effects on future service capabilities and maintenance costs. The present resilience of these structures within an integrated system might be reflected in historical maintenance needs, but defining such a relationship requires further study. For critical coastal and Great Lakes navigation infrastructure (e.g., rubble-mound jetty and breakwater structures) owned by USACE, a potential measure of the capacity to achieve a desired function could be derived from data on physical rating (an engineering evaluation) used as an indicator of resilience. Results from a recent evaluation of this portfolio showed the most common physical rating to be a ‘B’, with grades ranging from ‘A’ (second most common grade) to ‘F’ (see Figure 22). The relationship between physical condition rating and level of service (an operational or functional evaluation) varies and more detailed information is needed to improve understanding in this area. The level of resilience for privately owned infrastructure such as container terminals or other port facilities was not evaluated as part of this research but is an important consideration for all MTS stakeholders. If standardized metrics applicable to all ports and locations were available they would be valuable to this research.

Vessel Travel Time Statistics – Dwell time example

Vessel travel time statistics, such as mean and standard deviation, can be applied to analyze MTS performance over time at the local, regional, and national level. Such analysis can help quantify congestion or the operating impacts of environmental conditions. For this ongoing case study archival AIS data were used to analyze vessel dwell times at the Wando Container Terminal at the Port of Charleston, SC during 2011. Figure 23 shows a relative density plot (known as a heatmap) of AIS position reports depicting the locations of the AIS transponders on vessels as they dwelled at the port. Note that heatmap color scales are not absolute, they must be adjusted to illustrate signal density based on the overall sample size. Heatmaps can be generated for a variety of spatial scales.

Figure 23. Relative density plot of AIS reports during one month in 2011, overlain on a map of the Wando Terminal in Charleston, SC
Summary and Recommendations

These results show that we can use existing data to create a high level overview of MTS performance. We expect user feedback to identify other critical performance areas while improved data can help sharpen the focus of all measures. Understanding the state of physical assets will not in and of itself make the MTS function more efficiently, but has immediate relevance to maintenance and resilience planning efforts. Possible changes in operational patterns might be more feasible than infrastructure expansion, so regular performance measurement is needed to support an improved understanding for MTS decision making.

This research directly benefits from robust data collection and publication from sources such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Census Bureau, Army Corps of Engineers, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Transportation, Department of Energy, Coast Guard, and the Environmental Protection Agency.\textsuperscript{32, 36-41} Initiatives such as Data.gov can improve discoverability of previously obscure resources. Unlocking these rich collections of data has the potential to improve our understanding of MTS performance, but research is far from complete especially when the MTS is viewed as part of an intermodal system. A recent National Academy of Science/National Cooperative Freight Research Program publication noted “there is a lack of the kind of data needed for developing a model that can support MTS maintenance investment decision-making by being correlated between the [transportation] modes and almost no accurate data on origins and destinations (in the case of publicly available data).”\textsuperscript{42} Reducing these data gaps to improve system performance analysis capabilities would benefit all MTS stakeholders.

In the absence of national MTS goals the current mixture of stakeholder priorities and mission areas will continue to drive data collection. Recommendations to improve MTS performance measurement capabilities are listed below.

1. Continue to develop indicators that identify changes, rates of change, and the state of MTS performance
2. Develop performance measures that reflect priority outcomes based on national goals.
3. Improve understanding of the underlying drivers of MTS performance indicators.
4. Improve intermodal freight connection, and supply chain corridor, visibility and analytics.
5. Improve research access to historical Automatic Identification System vessel data.
6. Federal agencies should review and update existing policies to reflect emerging research needs, technical sharing capabilities, and agency requirements for open and machine-readable data to reduce the need for the repetitive data calls.
7. Improve reporting speed and publishing of environmental data.
8. Improve environmental stewardship performance measure data sourcing.
9. Develop coastal resilience measures, using sources such as shoreline change records provided by the National Coastal Mapping Program.\textsuperscript{43}
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The United States Marine Transportation System will be a safe, secure, and globally integrated network that, in harmony with the environment, ensures a free-flowing, seamless, and reliable movement of people and commerce along its waterways, sea lanes, and intermodal connections.